

Comment

**Comment on Phys. Rev. Lett. paper:
"Revisiting the valence-band and core-level
photoemission spectra of NiO" -
the strength of the crystal field in NiO.**

R. J. Radwanski*

Center of Solid State Physics; *S^{nt} Filip 5, 31-150 Krakow, Poland*
Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University, 30-084 Krakow, Poland

(reprint ActAPhysica **23-24** (2008) 29,
under the law protection of Phys. Rev. Lett. from 22 July, 2008, LUK1036;
related to Acta Phys. Pol. A **97**, 963 (2000);
First-principles description of NiO - see Acta Physica 1 (2006) 26;
published 31 July 2009; online: www.actaphysica.eu)

By this Comment we would like to express our deep scepticism about the strength of the crystal-field parameter in NiO taken in Ref. [1] into calculations, $10Dq$ of 0.3 eV (Fig. 1). We claim that this value is more than 3.5 times too small. We claim that the correct value of $10Dq$ in NiO is 1.09 eV. We would suppose that the value of $10Dq$ does not influence the high energy spectra.

Despite of writing this Comment we fully appreciate research by Taguchi *et al.* [1] as a step towards the scientific truth. We are forced to write a Comment by an unscientific policy of Editors of Phys. Rev. Lett. finding *a priori* my papers on NiO, describing the magnetism and low-energy electronic structure within a very-strongly electron-correlated limit with substantial importance of the detailed crystal field and spin-orbit coupling, as unsuitable for publication in Phys. Rev. Lett. by last ten years.

A value of $10Dq$, an promotion $t_{2g}-e_g$ energy, is fundamental parameter for any description of properties of NiO. Van Elp and Tanaka [2] have written already 9 years ago that "Probably the most important parameter is the ionic $10Dq$ splitting." (p. 5333). I fully agree with this statement. There is a wide literature on this subject. Quite extensive reference list can be found in our papers, see for instance [3, 4]. For a scientific honesty I have to mention that in a quite recent paper Soriano *et al.* [5] have assumed only 0.1 eV for $10Dq$.

Moreover, for the authors "the ground state is described by linear

* <http://www.e-physica.pl>; Email: sfradwan@cyf-kr.edu.pl

combination of $3d^8$, $3d^9L$, $3d^{10}L^2$... configurations". They do not give, however, the used/obtained contributions. It unables any scientific discussion of the results.

In conclusion, we claim that a value of 0.3 eV taken in Ref. [1] for the strength of the octahedral crystal-field $10Dq$ in NiO is in a sharp disagreement with a well-established experimental value of 1.09 eV. Moreover, it is a pity that the authors do not specify the ground state of the Ni ion in NiO taken into calculations. It is a future task to combine such theoretical approaches as that presented in Ref. [1] for high-energy excitations with the many-electron crystal-field theory (QUASST), which adequately describes zero- and low-temperature properties including formation of the magnetic state, low-energy electronic structure (< 1.5 eV) and termodynamics.

-
- [1] M. Taguchi, M. Matsunami, Y. Ishida, R. Eguchi, A. Chainani, Y. Takata, M. Yabashi, K. Tamasaku, Y. Nishino, T. Ishikawa, Y. Senba, H. Ohashi, and S. Shin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 206401 (2008).
 - [2] J. van Elp and A. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 5331 (1999).
 - [3] R. J. Radwanski and Z. Ropka, *Acta Physica* **1**, 26 (2006); www.actaphysica.eu; arXiv:cond-mat/0606604v1 (2006).
 - [4] R. J. Radwanski and Z. Ropka, *Acta Physica* **5**, 17 (2007); www.actaphysica.eu; arXiv:cond-mat/0412484v1 (2004).
 - [5] L. Soriano, I. Preda, A. Gutierrez, S. Palacin, M. Abbate, and A. Vollmer, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 233417 (2007).